

# PART II

## THE DOMINO EFFECT

THE CAUSE AND EFFECT OF FAILED JOINT RESOLUTIONS, ACTS  
AND BILL OF ILLEGAL, UNCONSTITUTIONAL, INVALID,  
UNLAWFUL, VOID LAWS OF NEVADA

-----

### THE NEVADA REVISED STATUTES [NRS] EXPOSED

The information contained in this ongoing research and fact gathering of “Irrefutable Evidence” has now been scaled down and simplified in the attached Documents and Exhibits. This makes it a very clear and plain showing of factual proof that the process in which the Nevada Revised Statutes came into existence, as cited by the Laws of the State of Nevada, constitutionally fails. As such, the Nevada Revised Statutes simplified herein, are illegal, unconstitutional, unlawful, invalid and void.

In **EXHIBIT “A”** herein this (part two) of the Domino Effect, dubbed cause and effect of failed Joint Resolutions, Acts and Bills, this Exhibit/Document titled: “Resolutions and Memorials” reference Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 1, by the Committee on the Judiciary File No. 1.

Senate Concurrent Resolution – providing that the official engrossed copy of Senate Bill No. 2, may be used as the Enrolled Bill.

The recitals from said Resolution and Memorials states as follows:

WHEREAS, the provisions of Sec. 8 of Chapter 3, statues of Nevada 1949, as Amended by Chapter 385, Statutes of Nevada 1955, provide that the official engrossed copy of a Bill may by Resolution be used as the engrossed Bill; NOW, therefore, be it Resolved by the Senate of the State of Nevada, the Assembly concurring that the official engrossed cop of Senate Bill No. 2, shall be used as the engrossed Bill provided by Law . . .

NV CODE, 2014, Mathew Bender & Co., Inc. a member of the Lexis Nexis Group has provided a recent Nevada State Constitution Article 4, Section 23, citing (23) Enacting Clause; Law to be enacted by Bill note to Decisions, the explicitness to each of the words are necessary in the enactment clause and opinions of the Attorney General, addressing the absence of the enactment language on a Joint Resolution; See **EXHIBIT “A”** herein . . .

The Ago 85 (07-25-1951) opinion states as follows:

A Joint Resolution adopted by both Houses cannot become a valid law, if it does not contain the enacting clause required by this Section . . .

Very clear and plain, examining the Resolutions and Memorials derived from its conclusion and usage in the statutes of Nevada 1956-1957, the enactment language is absent from the Resolution used or intended Jointly by the Senate and Assembly to empower the “COPY” of an Engrossed Bill, dubbed Senate Bill No. 2 to become the Enrolled Bill as provided by law;

There exists an old maxim in the Legislative Branch of government, and that is the Fastest way to kill a Bill on the floor of the Senate and Assembly, is to just leave out the Enacting Clause!

If the Joint Resolution is lacking of, and does not contain the Constitutional mandate and provision as stated in the Nevada State Constitution Article 4, Section 23, then it totally fails absent the prerequisite enactment language. See **EXHIBIT “E.”**

Once the Joint Resolution fails on this single most critical Constitutional compliance, the “copy” of the Engrossed Senate Bill No. 2, fails thus causing this “Domino Effect” .

Therefore, based upon the aforementioned herein Senate Bill No. 2 “Copy” of the engrossed Bill to fail.’

NOW turning your attention to the Assembly Resolution No. 1, Committee on Judiciary, which is contravening in the sense that, on January 25, 1951, the so-called “Statute Revision Commission,” consisting of three Judges from the Nevada Supreme Court and a man named Russell W. McDonald (an alleged attorney, with no records as such), submitted to the Nevada Legislature in the form of a Bill, called the “Revision Bill” of which also became a “COPY” of the engrossed Bill dubbed Senate Bill No. 2. See **EXHIBIT “D.”**

This submission by the Statute Revision Commission in the form of a Bill, becomes very troublesome. **First**, it does not contain the prerequisites or mandates by the Nevada Statute Constitution, Article 4, Section 17 and Article 4, Section 18; *i.e.*, the mode and style in which the Bill or Act, origin or authority is displayed, which is absent on its face of this submitted form of a Bill. . . .

**Second**, File No. 2, Assembly Concurrent Resolution, again absent without the Constitutionally mandated enactment language and co-mingles several Acts instead

of addressing each Act separately and singularly, this violates the Nevada State Constitution Article 4, Section 17, titled: **Acts to Embrace One Subject Only; Title; Amendment**, See **EXHIBIT “C”** herein. The expressing congratulations and gratitude to the (alleged) attorney Russell W. McDonald in the failed Joint Resolutions File No. 1 and Resolution No. 2 is premixed in with the Assembly Concurrent Resolution of resolve that;

WHEREAS, the 48<sup>th</sup> Session of the Legislature of the State of Nevada, by unanimous vote of the members thereof has enacted into Law the Nevada Revised Statutes as the Law of the State of Nevada to supersede all prior Laws of a general, public and permanent nature and; This Joint Resolution herein clearly and plainly shows the attempt to passing of multiple subject issues instead again of that of a single Act; See **EXHIBIT “A.”**

Authentication, as mentioned in Senate Bill No. 109, sponsored by Senators Whitacare, Brown and Seevers. Chapters 385 and again as referenced in the Joint Resolution states in Section 2: “All Bills and Resolutions shall be introduced in triplicate, and one “copy” of each Bill or Resolution shall be marked “Original,” one shall be marked “Duplicate;” and one shall be marked “Triplicate” shall be referred to the Amendment Clerk. See **EXHIBIT “B”**.”

In Section 3; the State printer shall immediately, after receipt of the “Copy” of any Bill or Resolution print, in addition to the regular number herein before authorized, one “Copy” thereof upon heavy buff paper, which “copy” shall be delivered to the Secretary of State or Chief Clerk of the Assembly.

The Amendment Clerk shall then certify to the Correctness of the bound copy; See **EXHIBIT “B”**, contained herein.

Section 4; The Official Engrossed “Copy” may by Resolution be “USED” as the Enrolled Bill . . .

The severity of the problem with the Joint Resolution used in connection with the “Copy” of **the Engrossed Bill SB No. 2**, is that it does not contain the mandated and commanded enactment language, and as such, the cause and effect of the Joint Resolution Constitutionally fails under the Nevada Constitution, Article 4, Section 23; and by which then has created the “Domino Effect” of causing the “Copy” of the submitted Engrossed Bill to fail, which causes the Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] to fail and become illegal, unconstitutional, unlawful, invalid and void.

## NOTES TO DECISION

Under the NEVADA STATE CONSTITUTION, Article 4, Section 23, See **EXHIBIT “E”** attached herein the following:

This Constitutional provision is mandatory and an Act not in the proper form is VOID and unenforceable. *State, ex rel Chase v. Rogers*, 10 Nev. 250 (1875) Nexis Lexis 24 (Nev. 1975). (**EXHIBIT “F”**)

This Section is an imperative mandate of the people, in their Sovereign capacity to the Legislature, requiring that ALL LAWS to be binding upon them shall upon their face express the authority by which they were enacted, and an Act which does not show such authority upon its face is “NOT A LAW.” *State ex rel Chase v. Rogers*, 10 Nev. 250 (1875) Nexis Lexis 24 (Nev. 1975). (Emphasis added).

Nevada Highway Patrol Association, Lexis Nexis 136, (See **EXHIBIT “G”**), and Joint Resolution No. 7 (**EXHIBIT “H”**), also Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 29 (**EXHIBIT “I”**).

## OPINIONS OF ATTORNEY GENERAL IN SUPPORT

A Joint Resolution adopted by both Houses cannot become valid law if it does not contain the enacting clause required by this Section Nevada Constitution, Article 4, Section 23; See AGO Opinion 85 (07-25-1951). Attached as (**EXHIBIT “J”**).

## DISCOVERY

Through the passage of time, judges, lawyers, prosecutors, legal scholars, Senators, Legislatures, Attorney Generals, Secretaries of State and the former Governors and most recently all three branches of government have operated on the “presumption of law;” that the Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] were the constitutionally valid laws of the State of Nevada. Now the presumption of law has been vitiated, revealing decades of the stealth fraud, criminal fraud and ongoing long arm fraud, that the knowledge of law has vitiated this fraud and has shown that the Nevada Revised Statutes is NOT THE LAW IN THE STATE OF NEVADA. In fact, the Nevada Revised Statutes have been revealed to be a NULLITY – VOID AB INITIO (void from the beginning) due to their fraudulent passing.

There exist multiple Constitutional violations, however, the main focus is on the Biggest Legal Find in Nevada history, by which it has now been discovered.

## CONCLUSION

Since 1977, when the Death Penalty was re-instated in Nevada, there have been eleven (11) people put to death in Nevada. Their sentences were carried out under the Nevada Revised Statutes. There have been One Hundred Twenty-Three Thousand (123,000) persons, tried, convicted and sentenced to prison under the Nevada Revised Statutes. There are well over Thirteen Thousand (13,000) incarcerated individuals in county jails pending convictions or have been convicted and are pending sentences to be passed on their convictions under the Nevada Revised Statutes. Again, all fraudulent and all illegal.

There exist to date, invalid law [NRS] which were made so by the illegal improper acts of the Legislature. These laws are contravening and unconstitutional. These laws have only to be challenged and are waiting to be so challenged. But because of their illegal passage there exists in Nevada widespread tyranny, stealth fraud, criminal fraud, public corruption and ongoing long arm fraud.

There does exist to date multiple District Court Judges and County Employees both in the executive branch and Judicial branch who have all breached their sworn duty to support and defend the United States and their State Constitutions from all foreign and DOMESTIC enemies of the Citizens.

Considering the information contained herein, there are no crimes in Nevada that you can be arrested for, prosecuted under, convicted of or incarcerated under any case or sentenced to imprisonment. The Courts have had no jurisdiction to hear or try any cases cited as violations of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Thus, leaving a person in prison who has been convicted under a law that does not exist is a lie. It is a false imprisonment. It is a Bill of Attainder, which is prohibited of the States by Article 1, Section 10 of the United States Constitution.

This paper is a testament to the irrefutable facts contained herein. See **EXHIBIT “K.”** News Article ) compare its information to **EXHIBIT “A”** (congratulations to Russell West McDonald).

**EXHIBIT “L”** shows that the Statute Revision Commission was created by the Nevada Legislature on March 22, 1951 with Senate Bill No. 132 (committee on finance). However, the Legislative Counsel Bureau [LCB] states in their publicly released material that Supreme Court ) created the Statute Revision Commission (See attached **EXHIBIT “M”**). After viewing **EXHIBIT “L”**, it is blatantly obvious that this statement by the LCB (who advises our Legislature) is completely false.

Because if it were true, this would be a violation of Separation of Powers Doctrine. See Nevada Constitution; ARTICLE 3, SECTION 1 (see **EXHIBIT “N”**, which clearly states that no judge or judicial officer may hold an office that is outside of their judicial authority).

HERE IS A RECAP OF THE VIOLATIONS COMMITTED BY OUR OFFICIALS:

1. NO ENACTMENT LANGUAGE IN THE BILL; A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 4, SECTION 23
2. SINGLE SUBJECT OF EACH BILL; VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 4, SECTION 17
3. VIOLATION OF JOINT HOUSE RULE NO. 7; NO ENACTMENT LANGUAGE
4. VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 385, PAGE 732, SECTION 2 AND PAGE 734, SECTION 4
5. THE SECRETARY OF STATE CLAIMS THEY HAVE NO BILL, JOURNALS, JOINT RESOLUTION RECORDS; ALL VIOALTIONS OF ARTICLE 5, SECTION 20.

FOR ALL OF THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING REASONS, THE JOINT CONCURRENT RESOLUTIONS FAILS. THIS CAUSES THE DOMINO EFFECT OF THE “COPY” OF THE **ENGROSSED BILL SB NO. 2**, TO FAIL. THUS CAUSING “ALL” **NRS 1.010 TO NRS 710.510** INCLUSIVE, TO BE DECLARED NULL AND VOID OR VOID *AB INITIO*.

BY WAY OF ANALOGY, WHAT THE STATUTE REVISION COMMISSION AND THE LEGISLATURE DID IS THE EQUIVALENT OF PREPARING FOR THE LANDING OF AN AIR PLANE AND FORGETTING TO PUT THE LANDING GEAR DOWN BEFORE LANDING. IF THIS WERE DONE BY A PILOT, IT WOULD KILL HIM AND EVERYONE ON BOARD. INSTEAD, HERE, THE REVISED LAWS OF NEVADA [NRS] ARE DEAD ON ARRIVAL.

THIS SHOULD PROVE BEYOND ANY REASONABLE DOUBT THAT NEVADA HAS NO LAW AND HAS HAD NONE SINCE 1951 WHEN THE BILL WAS ILLEGALLY PASSED.